

Ajay Hasia V Khalid Mujib

Establishing a "test" (that is, a measurable standard that can be applied by courts in future decisions).
c4fe51e05f == Judgment == c4fe51e05f Overturning prior precedent based on its negative effects or flaws in its reasoning; c4fe51e05f

List of landmark court decisions in India Such a landmark decision may settle the law in more than one way. In present-day common law legal systems it may do so by:. (India) Ajay Hasia v. Deluxe Films [1978] INSC 140 (18 August 1978), S. RG Anand v. Khalid Mujib [1980] INSC 219 (13 November 1980) Landmark court decisions in India substantially change the interpretation of existing law. C. Retrieved 2026-06-04 c4fe51e05f

Establishing a significant new legal principle or concept; c4fe51e05f

Ajay Hasia v. Khalid Mujib Shetty v International Airport Authority of India. Ajay Hasia summarized International Airport Authority into a six-factor test to determine whether a body was an instrumentality of agency of the State. D. The judgment of the Court, delivered by Justice P. N. If a body is 'State' for the purposes of Article 12, a writ can lie against them for violating the Constitution. Bhagwati, was largely a summarization of Bhagwati's view in R. Ajay Hasia v Khalid Mujib, (1981) 1 SCC 722, was a landmark decision by the Supreme Court of India in which the Court laid down a test to determine whether Ajay Hasia v Khalid Mujib, (1981) 1 SCC 722, was a landmark decision by the Supreme Court of India in which the Court laid down a test to determine whether an individual, corporation, or society was an instrumentality or agency of the government, and therefore whether it could be considered a State for the purposes of Article 12 of the Constitution of India c4fe51e05f

Justice P. N. Bhagwati delivered the judgment of the Court. The most influential portion of the judgment is the summarized six-factor test and largely the reason why it is often cited over the International Airport Authority case, a previous judgment by Justice Bhagwati. The six factors were: c4fe51e05f

Court cases related to reservation in India Khalid Mujib AIR 1981 SC 487 In Indian constitutional law, reservation is a form of affirmative action reserving certain positions in the workforce to specific sections of the population. State of Kerala AIR 1976 SC 2381 Minerva Mills Ltd v. Union (1980) 3 SCC 625: AIR 1980 SC 1789 Ajay Hasia v. 310 Jayasree v. It was implemented to improve social mobility as previously, members of specific castes and tribes were heavily discriminated against and prevented from working in specific positions or entering specific places c4fe51e05f

== Footnotes == c4fe51e05f Distinguishing a new principle that refines a prior principle, thus departing from prior practice without violating the rule of stare decisis; c4fe51e05f In India, landmark court decisions come most frequently from the Supreme Court of India, which is the highest judicial body in India. High courts of India may also make such decisions, particularly if the Supreme Court chooses not to review the case or if it adopts the holding of the lower court. c4fe51e05f

https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/_v/pdf/exe?TEXT=eggplant-is__it_good-for-you
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/!w/pub/mirror?ITUNE=white-dots_on__tonsils-no__fever
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/@p/lib/link?PAGE=acetonido_de__fluocinolona-metronidazol-nistatina
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/+p/pub/niche?KINDLE=how__to-make-myself-be_sick
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/~m/lib/go?RTF=why__am-i__still__tired_after_8-hours-of__sleep
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/_u/ebook/go?ITUNE=how__to_invest_in-ai_startups__as-small_investor
https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/_r/pdf/goto?PPT=day__one__of-brown_recluse__bite
[https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/\\$h/edu/exe?EB00K=how_do__you-spell__diarrhea](https://lb1-s245-pub.pressidium.com/$h/edu/exe?EB00K=how_do__you-spell__diarrhea)